

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by moral and practical law to serve the public interest. It is the mandate of the FCC to insure that these standards are not being abused by media conglomerates to manipulate voters in any way. If a broadcast company wants to take a stand on an issue, they should give their money to a campaign and express their views in the proper partisan context.

As an undecided voter, I find the lack of action by the FCC to cast a negative light on the administration that appointed the current FCC leadership and has pushed me in the direction of John Kerry.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.